Continuous logic and equivalence relations (joint with Andreas Hallbäck and Todor Tsankov)

Maciej Malicki

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences

March 8, 2021

Structures

A **structure** is a set M equipped with relations R_i , $i \in I$, functions f_j , $j \in J$, and constants c_k , $k \in K$.

Examples:

- \triangleright ordered sets (P, \leq) ,
- ightharpoonup graphs (R, E),
- ▶ Boolean algebras $(B, \land, \lor, -, 0, 1)$,
- ▶ metric spaces $(M, \{d_r\}_{r \in R})$, $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$.

The space of countable structures and the logic action

Let L be a relational signature L, with n_i the arity of relational symbol R_i , $i \in I$. Then $\operatorname{Mod}(L) = \prod_{i \in I} 2^{\mathbb{N}^{n_i}}$ is the space of codes of all countable L-structures with universe \mathbb{N} .

The space of countable structures and the logic action

Let L be a relational signature L, with n_i the arity of relational symbol R_i , $i \in I$. Then $\operatorname{Mod}(L) = \prod_{i \in I} 2^{\mathbb{N}^{n_i}}$ is the space of codes of all countable L-structures with universe \mathbb{N} .

The group S_{∞} acts on $\operatorname{Mod}(L)$ in a natural way: for $M, N \in \operatorname{Mod}(L)$ we put g.M = N if

$$R_i^N(k_1,...,k_{n_i}) \leftrightarrow R_i^M(g^{-1}(k_1),...,g^{-1}(k_{n_i})).$$

for any $i \in I$ and $(k_1, \ldots, k_{n_i}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n_i}$.

The space of countable structures and the logic action

Let L be a relational signature L, with n_i the arity of relational symbol R_i , $i \in I$. Then $\operatorname{Mod}(L) = \prod_{i \in I} 2^{\mathbb{N}^{n_i}}$ is the space of codes of all countable L-structures with universe \mathbb{N} .

The group S_{∞} acts on $\operatorname{Mod}(L)$ in a natural way: for $M, N \in \operatorname{Mod}(L)$ we put g.M = N if

$$R_i^N(k_1,...,k_{n_i}) \leftrightarrow R_i^M(g^{-1}(k_1),...,g^{-1}(k_{n_i})).$$

for any $i \in I$ and $(k_1, \ldots, k_{n_i}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n_i}$.

This action, called the **logic action**, induces the isomorphism equivalence relation \cong on Mod(L).

We will work in the setting of infinitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$, i.e., an extension of the finitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ allowing for countably infinite conjunctions $\bigwedge_i \phi_i$, and disjunctions $\bigvee_i \phi_i$ of formulas.

We will work in the setting of infinitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$, i.e., an extension of the finitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ allowing for countably infinite conjunctions $\bigwedge_i \phi_i$, and disjunctions $\bigvee_i \phi_i$ of formulas.

A (countable) **fragment** F is a countable set of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ formulas containing all $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ -formulas, and closed under \land , \lor , \neg , and \exists . We can talk about F-theories, spaces $\operatorname{Mod}(T) \subseteq \operatorname{Mod}(L)$ of models of a given F-theory, elementary F-embeddings etc.

We will work in the setting of infinitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$, i.e., an extension of the finitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ allowing for countably infinite conjunctions $\bigwedge_i \phi_i$, and disjunctions $\bigvee_i \phi_i$ of formulas.

A (countable) **fragment** F is a countable set of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ formulas containing all $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ -formulas, and closed under \land , \lor , \neg , and \exists . We can talk about F-theories, spaces $\operatorname{Mod}(T) \subseteq \operatorname{Mod}(L)$ of models of a given F-theory, elementary F-embeddings etc.

For an F-theory T, a (complete) n-type (in T) is a homomorphism from the (quotient) Boolean algebra $\mathcal{F}_T(\bar{x})$ of formulas with free variables among an n-tuple \bar{x} into the two-element Boolean algebra. The space $S_n(T)$ of all n-types is naturally equipped with the logic topology with basis consisting of sets $[\phi]$ defined by $p \in [\phi]$ iff $p(\phi) = 1$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_T(\bar{x})$.

We will work in the setting of infinitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$, i.e., an extension of the finitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ allowing for countably infinite conjunctions $\bigwedge_i \phi_i$, and disjunctions $\bigvee_i \phi_i$ of formulas.

A (countable) **fragment** F is a countable set of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ formulas containing all $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ -formulas, and closed under \land , \lor , \neg , and \exists . We can talk about F-theories, spaces $\operatorname{Mod}(T) \subseteq \operatorname{Mod}(L)$ of models of a given F-theory, elementary F-embeddings etc.

For an F-theory T, a (complete) n-type (in T) is a homomorphism from the (quotient) Boolean algebra $\mathcal{F}_T(\bar{x})$ of formulas with free variables among an n-tuple \bar{x} into the two-element Boolean algebra. The space $S_n(T)$ of all n-types is naturally equipped with the logic topology with basis consisting of sets $[\phi]$ defined by $p \in [\phi]$ iff $p(\phi) = 1$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_T(\bar{x})$.

Warning: Not all the types defined in this way are realizable b/c the compactness theorem fails for $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$!

Topologies defined by fragments

For
$$\phi \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega_1 \omega}$$
 and tuple $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$, let

$$\operatorname{Mod}(\phi, \bar{a}) = \{ M \in \operatorname{Mod}(L) : M \vDash \phi(\bar{a}) \}.$$

A fragment F generates a Polish topology t_F on $\operatorname{Mod}(L)$ with basis

$$B_F = {\mathrm{Mod}(\phi, \bar{a}) : \phi \in F, \bar{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}}.$$

Complexity of equivalence relations

An equivalence relation E on a Polish space X is (Borel) **reducible** to an equivalence relation F on a Polish space Y if there is a Borel mapping $f: X \to Y$ such that, for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$,

$$x_1 E x_2 \leftrightarrow f(x_1) F f(x_2).$$

Complexity of equivalence relations

An equivalence relation E on a Polish space X is (Borel) **reducible** to an equivalence relation F on a Polish space Y if there is a Borel mapping $f: X \to Y$ such that, for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$,

$$x_1 E x_2 \leftrightarrow f(x_1) F f(x_2).$$

Important types of equivalence relations:

- smooth relations, e.g., relations reducible to the identity on a Polish space;
- essentially countable relations, e.g., relations reducible to a relation with countable classes.

Smooth and essentially countable isomorphism relations

Smooth and essentially countable isomorphism relations

Theorem (Hjorth-Kechris)

Let T be a countable theory, and let \cong_T be the isomorphism relation on $\operatorname{Mod}(T)$. TFAE:

- 1. \cong_T is potentially Π_2^0 ;
- 2. There exists a fragment F such that for every $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(T)$, the theory $\operatorname{Th}_F(M)$ is \aleph_0 -categorical;
- 3. \cong_T is smooth.

Smooth and essentially countable isomorphism relations

Theorem (Hjorth-Kechris)

Let T be a countable theory, and let \cong_T be the isomorphism relation on $\operatorname{Mod}(T)$. TFAE:

- 1. \cong_T is potentially Π_2^0 ;
- 2. There exists a fragment F such that for every $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(T)$, the theory $\operatorname{Th}_F(M)$ is \aleph_0 -categorical;
- 3. \cong_T is smooth.

Theorem (Hjorth-Kechris)

With the same assumptions as above, TFAE:

- 1. \cong_T is potentially Σ_2^0 ;
- 2. There exists a fragment F such that for every $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(T)$, there is $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\operatorname{Th}_F(M, \bar{a})$ is \aleph_0 -categorical;
- 3. \cong_T is essentially countable.

Metric structures

A **metric structure** is a complete metric space (M,d) equipped with bounded uniformly continuous functions $R_i:M^{n_i}\to\mathbb{R},\ i\in I$ (relations), uniformly continuous functions $f_j:M^{n_j}\to M,\ j\in J$, and constants $c_k,\ k\in K$.

A metric signature consists of relation (including the metric), function, and constant symbols, as well as arities, moduli of continuity $\Delta:[0,+\infty)^n\to[0,+\infty)$, and bounds $I\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ for relation symbols. Each of the relations and functions of a metric structure in a given signature must respect its modulus of continuity. Each of the relations must respect its bound.

Metric structures

A **metric structure** is a complete metric space (M,d) equipped with bounded uniformly continuous functions $R_i: M^{n_i} \to \mathbb{R}, i \in I$ (relations), uniformly continuous functions $f_j: M^{n_j} \to M, j \in J$, and constants $c_k, k \in K$.

A metric signature consists of relation (including the metric), function, and constant symbols, as well as arities, moduli of continuity $\Delta:[0,+\infty)^n\to[0,+\infty)$, and bounds $I\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ for relation symbols. Each of the relations and functions of a metric structure in a given signature must respect its modulus of continuity. Each of the relations must respect its bound.

Examples:

- Complete metric spaces (M, d);
- ► Complete metric groups $(G, d, \cdot, \cdot^{-1}, e)$;
- ► Measure algebras $(B, d, \land, \lor, 0, 1)$;
- ► Banach spaces, C*-algebras, etc.



The space of Polish metric structures

Let L be a countable relational signature L, with n_i the arity of relation R_i , $i \in I$, where $R_0 = d$. Then $\operatorname{Mod}(L) \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^{n_i}}$ is the space of codes of all Polish metric structures with universe containing \mathbb{N} as a (tail-)dense subset of M.

The space of Polish metric structures

Let L be a countable relational signature L, with n_i the arity of relation R_i , $i \in I$, where $R_0 = d$. Then $\operatorname{Mod}(L) \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^{n_i}}$ is the space of codes of all Polish metric structures with universe containing \mathbb{N} as a (tail-)dense subset of M.

Remark: There is no logic action, and thus no Vaught transforms! However, for any $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(L)$, we can consider a Polish space $D \subseteq M^{\mathbb{N}}$ of all tail-dense sequences in M, and a natural projection $\pi:D \to [M]$ from D to the isomorphism class [M] of M in $\operatorname{Mod}(L)$. This gives a tool analogous to Vaught transforms.

Continuous $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$

Formulas of (continuous) finitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ are defined using

- inf and sup playing the role of quantifiers;
- ▶ continuous functions $s: [a,b]^n \to [a,b]$ playing the role of connectives. Alternatively: polynomials or just $\{0,1,\frac{x}{2},\cdot,+\}$

Continuous $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$

Formulas of (continuous) finitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ are defined using

- inf and sup playing the role of quantifiers;
- ▶ continuous functions $s: [a,b]^n \to [a,b]$ playing the role of connectives. Alternatively: polynomials or just $\{0,1,\frac{x}{2},\cdot,+\}$

Analogs of infinite conjunctions and disjunctions of formulas in the (continuous) infinitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ are defined with $\inf_i \phi_i$, $\sup_i \phi_i$ playing the role of infinitary connectives, provided that all ϕ_i respect a single modulus of continuity and bound.

Continuous $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$

Formulas of (continuous) finitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ are defined using

- inf and sup playing the role of quantifiers;
- ▶ continuous functions $s: [a,b]^n \to [a,b]$ playing the role of connectives. Alternatively: polynomials or just $\{0,1,\frac{x}{2},\cdot,+\}$

Analogs of infinite conjunctions and disjunctions of formulas in the (continuous) infinitary logic $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ are defined with $\inf_i \phi_i$, $\sup_i \phi_i$ playing the role of infinitary connectives, provided that all ϕ_i respect a single modulus of continuity and bound.

We can also define fragments of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$, and Polish topologies on $\operatorname{Mod}(L)$ defined by fragments.

For a given fragment F, and F-theory T, an n-type (in T) is a homomorphism into $\mathbb R$ from the quotient real Banach algebra $\mathcal F_T(\bar x)$ of formulas with seminorm

$$\|\phi\| = \sup\{\phi^M(\bar{a}) : M \models T, \ \bar{a} \in M^n\}$$

For a given fragment F, and F-theory T, an n-type (in T) is a homomorphism into $\mathbb R$ from the quotient real Banach algebra $\mathcal F_T(\bar x)$ of formulas with seminorm

$$\|\phi\| = \sup\{\phi^M(\bar{a}) : M \models T, \, \bar{a} \in M^n\}$$

The (Polish) logic topology au is defined by sets of the form $[\phi < r]$

For a given fragment F, and F-theory T, an n-type (in T) is a homomorphism into $\mathbb R$ from the quotient real Banach algebra $\mathcal F_T(\bar x)$ of formulas with seminorm

$$\|\phi\| = \sup\{\phi^M(\bar{a}) : M \models T, \, \bar{a} \in M^n\}$$

The (Polish) logic topology au is defined by sets of the form $[\phi < r]$

There is also a natural (complete) metric ∂ on $S_n(T)$. If $F = \mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$, because of compactness, it can be defined by

$$\partial(p,q) = \inf\{d^M(\bar{a},\bar{b}) : M \models T, \ \bar{a},\bar{b} \in M^n, \ \operatorname{tp}(\bar{a}) = p,\operatorname{tp}(\bar{b}) = q\}$$

For a given fragment F, and F-theory T, an n-type (in T) is a homomorphism into $\mathbb R$ from the quotient real Banach algebra $\mathcal F_T(\bar x)$ of formulas with seminorm

$$\|\phi\| = \sup\{\phi^M(\bar{a}) : M \models T, \, \bar{a} \in M^n\}$$

The (Polish) logic topology au is defined by sets of the form $[\phi < r]$

There is also a natural (complete) metric ∂ on $S_n(T)$. If $F = \mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$, because of compactness, it can be defined by

$$\partial(p,q) = \inf\{d^{M}(\bar{a},\bar{b}) : M \models T, \ \bar{a},\bar{b} \in M^{n}, \ \operatorname{tp}(\bar{a}) = p,\operatorname{tp}(\bar{b}) = q\}$$

In general, we can put

$$\partial(p,q) = \sup_{\phi \in F_1} |p(\phi) - q(\phi)|,$$

where F_1 are 1-Lipschitz formulas in $\mathcal{F}_T(\bar{x})$.



Omitting types and atomic models

Definition: A type p is **isolated** if τ and ∂ -topology coincide at p. A model is **atomic** if it realizes only isolated types.

Omitting types and atomic models

Definition: A type p is **isolated** if τ and ∂ -topology coincide at p. A model is **atomic** if it realizes only isolated types.

Theorem (Omitting types)

Let F be a fragment and let T be an F-theory. Suppose that for every n, we are given $O_n \subseteq S_n(T)$ a τ -meager and ∂ -open set. Then there is a separable model $M \models T$ that omits all of the O_n .

Omitting types and atomic models

Definition: A type p is **isolated** if τ and ∂ -topology coincide at p. A model is **atomic** if it realizes only isolated types.

Theorem (Omitting types)

Let F be a fragment and let T be an F-theory. Suppose that for every n, we are given $O_n \subseteq S_n(T)$ a τ -meager and ∂ -open set. Then there is a separable model $M \models T$ that omits all of the O_n .

Theorem (Existence of atomic models)

Let T be a complete theory. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T admits an atomic model;
- 2. There exist subsets $O_n \subseteq S_n(T)$ such that for all n, (O_n, ∂) is separable and $\forall^* M \in \operatorname{Mod}(T) \ \forall n \ \Theta[M] \subseteq O_n$.

In particular, if $(S_n(T), \partial)$ is separable for every n, then T admits an atomic model.

\aleph_0 -categorical and atomic models

Theorem

Let F be a fragment and let T be an F-theory. For any $M \in Mod(T)$,

- 1. M is \aleph_0 -categorical iff [M] is closed in the topology t_F .
- 2. M is an atomic model of $\operatorname{Th}_F(M)$ iff [M] is G_δ in the topology t_F .

Smooth isomorphism relations

Theorem

Let T be a countable theory, and let \cong_T be the isomorphism relation on $\operatorname{Mod}(T)$. TFAE:

- 1. \cong_T is potentially Π_2^0 ;
- 2. There exists a fragment F such that for every $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(T)$, the theory $\operatorname{Th}_F(M)$ is \aleph_0 -categorical;
- 3. \cong_T is smooth.

Essentially countable isomorphism relations

Definition: A type p is \aleph_0 -rigid if whenever (M, \bar{a}) and (N, \bar{b}) are two realizations of p with M and N separable, then $M \cong N$.

Essentially countable isomorphism relations

Definition: A type p is \aleph_0 -rigid if whenever (M, \bar{a}) and (N, \bar{b}) are two realizations of p with M and N separable, then $M \cong N$.

Theorem

Let T be a countable theory such that all of its (separable) models are locally compact, and \cong_T is Borel. TFAE:

- 1. \cong_T is potentially Σ_2^0 ;
- 2. There exists a fragment F such that for every $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(T)$, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set

$$\{\bar{a} \in M^k : \operatorname{Th}_F(M, \bar{a}) \text{ is } \aleph_0\text{-rigid}\}$$

has non-empty interior in M^k ;

3. \cong_T is essentially countable.

Coding actions with Polish metric structures

Let $G \leq \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a locally compact group with a proper right-invariant metric d_R , where X is compact with distance d bounded by 1, and let $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a dense sequence in X. Let $L=\{P_i:i\in\mathbb{N}\}$ be the signature where each P_i is a unary predicate symbol bounded by 1. For each $x\in X$ define an L-structure A(x) with universe (G,d_R) and predicates defined on G by

$$P_i^{\mathsf{x}}(h) = d(h.\mathsf{x},\mathsf{a}_i).$$

Note that the predicates P_i^x code x uniquely: if $P_i^x(1_G) = P_i^y(1_G)$ for all i, then x = y.

A theorem of Kechris

Proposition

The map $x \mapsto A(x)$ is a reduction from the orbit equivalence relation of the action α to the isomorphism relation.

A theorem of Kechris

Proposition

The map $x \mapsto A(x)$ is a reduction from the orbit equivalence relation of the action α to the isomorphism relation.

Proposition

Let A be a proper metric structure. Then (A, a) is \aleph_0 -categorical (in $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$), and so $\operatorname{tp}(a)$ is \aleph_0 -rigid, for every $a \in A$.

A theorem of Kechris

Proposition

The map $x \mapsto A(x)$ is a reduction from the orbit equivalence relation of the action α to the isomorphism relation.

Proposition

Let A be a proper metric structure. Then (A, a) is \aleph_0 -categorical (in $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$), and so $\operatorname{tp}(a)$ is \aleph_0 -rigid, for every $a \in A$.

Corollary (Kechris)

Let G be a locally compact Polish group continuously acting on a Polish space X. Then the orbit equivalence relation is essentially countable.

Thank You!